



1. Left factoring & eliminating left recursion

Rewrite the following grammars so they can be parsed by a predictive parser by eliminating left recursion and applying left factoring where necessary

- $S \rightarrow S + a \mid b$
- $S \rightarrow S + a \mid S + b \mid c$
- $S \rightarrow a b c \mid a c$
- $S \rightarrow a a \mid a b \mid a$
- $S \rightarrow a S c \mid a S b \mid b$

2. Consider the following grammar.

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow X \mid ay \\ X &\rightarrow xXy \mid Y \\ Y &\rightarrow a \end{aligned}$$

- Compute the set of SLR(1) items for this grammar and then compute the corresponding DFA. Show the contents of each state (set of items) and label the transitions clearly.
- Is this grammar SLR(1)? Briefly explain why or why not.
- Compute the FIRST sets for x and X , and the FOLLOW set for X .

3. Consider the following CFG, which has the set of terminals $T = \{\text{stmt}, \{, \}, ;\}$. This grammar describes the organization of statements in blocks for a fictitious programming language. Blocks can have zero or more statements and other nested blocks, separated by semicolons, where the last semicolon is optional. (P is the start symbol here.)

$$\begin{aligned} P &\rightarrow S \\ S &\rightarrow \text{stmt} \mid \{B \\ B &\rightarrow \} \mid S\} \mid S;B \end{aligned}$$

- Construct a DFA for viable prefixes of this grammar using LR(0) items.
- Identify any shift-reduce and reduce-reduce conflicts in this grammar under the SLR(1) rules.
- Assuming that an SLR(1) parser resolves shift-reduce conflicts by choosing to shift, show the operation of such a parser on the input string $\{\text{stmt};\}$

Best wishes

Dr. Sherin El Gokhy